

UA Grad Slam: Scorecard

Round Number:

Presenter Name:

Judge's Name:

Presentation Title:

PRESENTATION:					SECTION SCORE
<i>Clarity:</i> Did the presentation help you understand the project?					
POOR		GOOD		EXCELLENT	
1	2	3	4	5	
<i>Delivery:</i> Did the speaker's body language, eye contact, and vocal range contribute to the effectiveness of the presentation? Did the speaker deliver the talk without relying excessively on notes?					
POOR		GOOD		EXCELLENT	
1	2	3	4	5	
<i>Supporting Materials:</i> Were the supporting materials well designed, clear, and concise? Did they enhance the presentation and help to emphasize the primary points of the talk?					
POOR		GOOD		EXCELLENT	
1	2	3	4	5	
SIGNIFICANCE:					SECTION SCORE
<i>Impact:</i> Did the speaker explain why the project matters? Did the speaker describe the broader value of the project?					
POOR		GOOD		EXCELLENT	
1	2	3	4	5	
<i>Intellectual Curiosity:</i> Did it encourage you to learn more about the topic?					
POOR		GOOD		EXCELLENT	
1	2	3	4	5	
AUDIENCE ENGAGEMENT:					SECTION SCORE
<i>Suited to a Non-Specialist Audience:</i> Was the project and its significance communicated in language appropriate to an educated but non-specialist audience? Did the speaker avoid discipline-specific jargon where possible, while still respecting the intelligence of the audience?					
POOR		GOOD		EXCELLENT	
1	2	3	4	5	
<i>Context:</i> Did the speaker provide adequate background knowledge to make the talk and its impact understandable?					
POOR		GOOD		EXCELLENT	
1	2	3	4	5	
COMMENTS FOR THE PRESENTER:					TOTAL SCORE

UA Grad Slam: Judging Criteria

A panel of three faculty, staff, or community members will judge each round. Each judge will award up to 35 points based on the following criteria, for a total of up to 105 points.

In the case of a tie, the presenter with the higher presentation score will be deemed the winner. In instances where presentation scores are the same, the scores for Significance and Audience will then be compared and the presenter with the greater score will be deemed the winner.

Presentation (Maximum Score = 15)

- **Clarity:** Did the presentation help you understand the project? (5 pts.)
- **Delivery:** Did the speaker's body language, eye contact, and vocal range contribute to the effectiveness of the presentation? Did the speaker deliver the talk without relying excessively on notes? (5 pts.)
- **Supporting Materials:** Were the supporting materials well designed, clear, and concise? Did they enhance the presentation and help to emphasize the primary points of the talk? (5 pts.)

Significance (Maximum Score = 10)

- **Impact:** Did the speaker explain why the project matters? Did the speaker describe the broader value of the project? (5 pts.)
- **Intellectual Curiosity:** To what extent did the talk (topic and performance combined) encourage you to learn more about the project and/or related topics? (5 pts.)

Audience Engagement (Maximum Score = 10)

- **Suited to a Non-Specialist Audience:** Was the project and its significance communicated in language appropriate to an educated but non-specialist audience? Did the speaker avoid discipline-specific jargon where possible, while still respecting the intelligence of the audience? (5 pts.)
- **Context:** Did the speaker provide adequate background knowledge to make the talk and the project's impact understandable? (5 pts.)

Timing

- Each presentation has three minutes to be completed
- A timer will alert the presenter when three minutes has passed. At this point the presenter is allowed to thank the audience and leave the presentation area.
- Presenters who exceed the 3-minute time limit will be disqualified.

Feedback: Judges are encouraged to provide written feedback via the Grad Slam scorecard to all participants to help them improve for future presentations.