

UA Grad Slam Judge Scorecard

Round Number:

Presenter Name:

Judge Name/Initials/#:

Circle the number that best matches your evaluation of the presenter's performance

Comprehension and content									
Did the presentation provide an understanding of the background and significance to the research, project, or work question being addressed, while explaining terminology and avoiding jargon?									
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
Did the presentation clearly describe the impact and/or results of the research, project, or work, including conclusions and outcomes?									
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
Did the presentation follow a clear and logical sequence?									
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
Was the research or project topic, its significance, results/impact, and outcomes communicated in language appropriate to a non-specialist audience?									
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
Did the presenter spend adequate time on each element of their presentation - or did they elaborate for too long on one aspect or was the presentation rushed?									
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
Engagement and communication									
Did the oration make the audience want to know more?									
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
Was the presenter careful not to trivialize or generalize their research, project, or work?									
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
Did the presenter convey enthusiasm for their research, project, or work?									
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
Did the presenter capture and maintain their audience's attention?									
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
Did the speaker have sufficient stage presence, eye contact and vocal range; maintain a steady pace, and have a confident stance?									
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
Did the slide(s) enhance the presentation - was it clear, legible, and concise?									
1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10

TOTAL SCORE:

Presenter Feedback Sheet

Judging Process

A panel of three or more faculty, staff, or community members will judge each round. Each judge will award up to 110 points. The two presenters with the highest combined point totals will move forward in the competition.

Following a presentation heat, the judges are given the opportunity to review and update scores. This allows for a more balanced evaluation of the presenters and mitigates the impact of presentation order in judging. The decision of the judges is final. Heat results will be announced at the end of the competition day.

In the case of a tie, judges will review the scores of the presenters involved and discuss which presenter best fits the spirit of the Grad Slam competition. Again, the decision of the judges is final.

Judges are encouraged to give written feedback to presenters. Presenters will receive this feedback after their competition day.